### **DELEGATED DECISION OFFICER REPORT**

AUTHORISATION	INITIALS	DATE
Planning Officer recommendation:	ER	29/08/2024
EIA Development - Notify Planning Casework Unit of Decision:	NO	
Team Leader authorisation / sign off:	AN	29/08/24
Assistant Planner final checks and despatch:	ER	30/08/2024

**Application**: 24/01106/HHPNOT **Town / Parish**: Clacton Non Parished

Applicant: Richard Clark - Spectrum Glazing Ltd

Address: 4 Highview Avenue Clacton On Sea Essex

**Development**: Prior Approval Application under Part 1, Class A of the Town and Country

Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as

amended) for replace exiting conservatory with gable ended extension (Depth

6m, Maximum Height 3700mm, Eaves Height 2250mm).

# 1. Town / Parish Council

Clacton non parished

# 2. Consultation Responses

Not required for this application.

# 3. Planning History

04/01670/FUL Division of rear gardens of No.s 2 and 4 Refused 30.09.2004

Highview Avenue to construct 2 No.

detached bungalows.

# 4. Officer Appraisal (including Site Description and Proposal)

A prior notification has been received by Tendring District Council in relation to the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015, Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A to replace the existing conservatory with gable ended extension (Depth 6m, Maximum Height 3700mm, Eaves Height 2250mm) at 4 Highview Avenue Clacton On Sea Essex CO15 4DY.

One letter of representation has been received which does not object to the proposal but provides details on the ownership of the boundary and requests a gap to be maintained for guttering etc.

Officer Response – The plans provided indicate that the proposal will be positioned within the site and will not overlap any neighbouring boundaries. The Local Planning Authority will not become party to any land ownership disputes and the authors are advised to seek guidance from a legal body or the Party Wall Act should a grievance occur. Should the applicants wish to obtain access to their neighbour's land to maintain the extension then they will need to obtain their permission.

No objections have been received.

The single storey rear extension is consistent with the provisions of the above mentioned Order and is classed as permitted development, subject to the conditions set out in Class A3 and A4.

#### **Ecology and Biodiversity**

# General duty on all authorities

The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 amended by the Environment Act 2021 provides under Section 40 the general duty to conserve and enhance biodiversity: "For the

purposes of this section "the general biodiversity objective" is the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity in England through the exercise of functions in relation to England." Section 40 states authorities must consider what actions they can take to further the general biodiversity objective and determine policies and specific objectives to achieve this goal. The actions mentioned include conserving, restoring, or enhancing populations of particular species and habitats. In conclusion for decision making, it is considered that the Local Planning Authority must be satisfied that the development would <u>conserve and enhance</u>.

This development is subject to the general duty outlined above. An informative has been imposed strongly encouraging the applicant to improve the biodiversity of the application site through appropriate additional planting and wildlife friendly features. Therefore the development on balance, with consideration of the impact of the development and baseline situation on site, is considered likely to conserve and enhance biodiversity interests.

## Biodiversity net gain

Biodiversity net gain (BNG) is an approach that aims to leave the natural environment in a measurably better state than it was beforehand. This excludes applications for householders this proposal is not therefore applicable for Biodiversity Net Gain.

## **Protected Species**

In accordance with Natural England's standing advice the proposed development site and surrounding habitat have been assessed for potential impacts on protected species. It is considered that the proposal is unlikely to adversely impact upon protected species or habitats.

Conclusion In accordance with the overarching duty outlined above, this development is considered to accord to best practice, policy, and legislation requirements in consideration of the impacts on ecology interests.

# 5. Recommendation

Prior Approval Not required

#### 6. Approved Drawings:

SITE PLAN - REC'D 23.07.24 PROPOSED ELEVATIONS - REC'D 23.07.24

### 7. Informatives

#### **Ecology Informative**

In accordance with the Council's general duty to conserve and enhance biodiversity, you are strongly encouraged to improve the biodiversity of the application site through appropriate additional planting and wildlife friendly features. Suggested enhancements could include: https://www.rhs.org.uk/wildlife/in-the-garden/encourage-wildlife-to-your-garden

#### Party Wall

If the development includes the construction of a new building on or at the boundary of 2 properties, work to an existing party wall or party structure or involve excavation near to and below the foundation level of neighbouring buildings, you are advised that the provisions of the Party Wall Act 1996 may apply to this development. An explanatory booklet concerning the implications of this Act is available online.

### 8. Equality Impact Assessment

In making this recommendation/decision regard must be had to the public sector equality duty (PSED) under section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 (as amended). This means that the Council must have due regard to the need in discharging its functions that in summary include A) Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act; B. Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic\* (See Table)

and those who do not; C. Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic\* and those who do not, including tackling prejudice and promoting understanding.

It is vital to note that the PSED and associated legislation are a significant consideration and material planning consideration in the decision-making process. This is applicable to all planning decisions including prior approvals, outline, full, adverts, listed buildings etc. It does not impose an obligation to achieve the outcomes outlined in Section 149. Section 149 represents just one of several factors to be weighed against other pertinent considerations.

In the present context, it has been carefully evaluated that the recommendation articulated in this report and the consequent decision are not expected to disproportionately affect any protected characteristic\* adversely. The PSED has been duly considered and given the necessary regard, as expounded below.

Protected Characteristics *	Analysis	Impact
Age	The proposal put forward will not likely have direct equality impacts on this target group.	Neutral
Disability	The proposal put forward will not likely have direct equality impacts on this target group.	Neutral
Gender Reassignment	The proposal put forward will not likely have direct equality impacts on this target group.	Neutral
Marriage or Civil Partnership	The proposal put forward will not likely have direct equality impacts on this target group.	Neutral
Pregnancy and Maternity	The proposal put forward will not likely have direct equality impacts on this target group.	Neutral
Race (Including colour, nationality and ethnic or national origin)	The proposal put forward will not likely have direct equality impacts on this target group.	Neutral
Sexual Orientation	The proposal put forward will not likely have direct equality impacts on this target group.	Neutral
Sex (gender)	The proposal put forward will not likely have direct equality impacts on this target group.	Neutral
Religion or Belief	The proposal put forward will not likely have direct equality impacts on this target group.	Neutral